arXiv:1403.0698v4 [math.AG] 5 Oct 2015

# SATAKE DIAGRAMS AND REAL STRUCTURES ON SPHERICAL VARIETIES

DMITRI AKHIEZER

Abstract. With each antiholomorphic involution $\sigma$ of a connected complex semisimple Lie group $G$ we associate an automorphism $\epsilon_\sigma$ of its Dynkin diagram. The definition of $\epsilon_\sigma$ is given in terms of the Satake diagram of $\sigma$. Let $H \subset G$ be a self-normalizing spherical subgroup. If $\epsilon_\sigma = \mathrm{id}$ then we prove the uniqueness and existence of a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure on $G/H$ and on the wonderful completion of $G/H$.

## 1. Introduction and statement of results

In this paper, we consider real structures on complex manifolds acted on by complex Lie groups. A real structure on a complex manifold $X$ is an antiholomorphic involutive diffeomorphism $\mu : X \to X$. Suppose a complex Lie group $G$ acts holomorphically on $X$ and let $\sigma : G \to G$ be an involutive antiholomorphic automorphism of $G$ as a real Lie group. A real structure $\mu : X \to X$ is said to be $\sigma$-equivariant if $\mu$ satisfies $\mu(g \cdot x) = \sigma(g) \cdot \mu(x)$ for all $g \in G, x \in X$. We start with homogeneous manifolds of arbitrary complex Lie groups. In Section 2 we prove that a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure on $X = G/H$ exists and is unique if $H$ is self-normalizing and $\sigma(H)$ and $H$ are conjugate by an inner automorphism of $G$. The conjugacy of $H$ and $\sigma(H)$ is also necessary for the existence of a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure.

Assume $G$ is connected and semisimple and denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of $G$. In Section 3, with any antiholomorphic involution $\sigma : G \to G$ we associate an automorphism class $\epsilon_\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})/\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ acting on the Dynkin diagram in the following way. We choose a Cartan subalgebra of the real form $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and the root ordering as in the classical paper of I.Satake [14]. Let $\Pi_\bullet$ (resp. $\Pi_\circ$) be the set of compact (resp. non-compact) simple roots, $\omega : \Pi_\circ \to \Pi_\circ$ the involutory self-map associated with $\sigma$. Denote by $W_\bullet$ the subgroup of the Weyl group $W$ generated by simple reflections $s_\alpha$, where $\alpha \in \Pi_\bullet$, and let $w_\bullet$ be the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14M27, 32M10.

*Key words and phrases.* Satake diagrams, spherical varieties, real structures.

Supported by SFB/TR 12 and SPP 1388 of the DFG

element of maximal length in $W_\bullet$. Then $\epsilon_\sigma(\alpha) = -w_\bullet(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \Pi_\bullet$ and $\epsilon_\sigma(\alpha) = \omega(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \Pi_\circ$. On the Satake diagram, $\epsilon_\sigma$ interchanges the white circles connected by two-pointed arrows and permutes the black ones as the outer automorphism of order 2 for compact algebras $A_n (n \geq 2)$, $D_n$ ($n$ odd), $E_6$, and identically otherwise.

Let $B \subset G$ be a Borel subgroup. Then $\sigma$ acts on the character group $\mathcal{X}(B)$ in a natural way. Namely, $\sigma(B) = cBc^{-1}$ for some $c \in G$ and, given $\lambda \in \mathcal{X}(B)$, the character

$$B \ni b \mapsto \lambda^\sigma(b) := \overline{\lambda(c^{-1}\sigma(b)c)}$$

is in fact independent of $c$. In Section 4 we show that the arising action coincides with the one given by $\epsilon_\sigma$.

In Section 5 we consider equivariant real structures on homogeneous spherical spaces. It turns out that, under some natural conditions on a spherical subgroup $H \subset G$, the homogeneous space $G/H$ possesses a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure. More precisely, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume $\epsilon_\sigma = \mathrm{id}$. Then any spherical subgroup $H \subset G$ is conjugate to $\sigma(H)$ by an inner automorphism of $G$, i.e., $\sigma(H) = aHa^{-1}$ for some $a \in G$. The map*

$$\mu_0 : G/H \to G/H, \quad \mu_0(g \cdot H) := \sigma(g) \cdot a \cdot H,$$

*is correctly defined, antiholomorphic and $\sigma$-equivariant. Moreover, if the subgroup $H$ is self-normalizing then:* (i) *$\mu_0$ is involutive, hence a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure on $G/H$;* (ii) *such a structure is unique.*

In Section 6 we prove a similar theorem for wonderful varieties. Wonderful varieties were introduced by D.Luna [10], and we recall their definition in Section 6. Wonderful varieties can be viewed as equivariant completions of spherical varieties with certain properties. If such a completion exists, it is unique. Furthermore, if $H$ is a self-normalizing spherical subgroup of a semisimple group $G$ then, by a result of F.Knop [7], $G/H$ has a wonderful completion.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let $H$ be a self-normalizing spherical subgroup of $G$ and let $X$ be the wonderful completion of $G/H$. If $\epsilon_\sigma = \mathrm{id}$ then there exists one and only one $\sigma$-equivariant real structure $\mu : X \to X$.*

**Remarks.** 1. Assume that $\sigma$ defines a split form of $G$. Then it is easily seen that $\epsilon_\sigma = \mathrm{id}$. In the split case Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are joint results with S.Cupit-Foutou [3]. In this case, the $\sigma$-equivariant real structure on a wonderful variety $X$ is called *canonical*. Assume in addition that $X$ is strict, i.e. all stabilizers (and not just the principal

one) are self-normalizing, and equip $X$ with its canonical real structure. Then [3] contains an estimate of the number of orbits of the connected component $G_0^\sigma$ on the real part of $X$.

2. The results of this paper are related to the theory of (strongly) visible actions introduced by T.Kobayashi, see e.g. [8]. Namely, given a holomorphic action of a complex Lie group on a complex manifold, its antiholomorphic diffeomorphisms are used in [8] to prove that the action of a real form is (strongly) visible.

## 2. Equivariant real structures

A real structure on a complex manifold $X$ is an antiholomorphic involutive diffeomorphism $\mu : X \to X$. The set of fixed points $X^\mu$ of $\mu$ is called the real part of $X$ with respect to $\mu$. If $X^\mu \neq \emptyset$ then $X^\mu$ is a closed real submanifold in $X$ and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X^\mu) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X).$$

Suppose a complex Lie group $G$ acts holomorphically on $X$ and let $\sigma : G \to G$ be an involutive antiholomorphic automorphism of $G$ as a real Lie group. The fixed point subgroup $G^\sigma$ is a real form of $G$. A real structure $\mu : X \to X$ is said to be $\sigma$-equivariant if

$$\mu(gx) = \sigma(g) \cdot \mu(x) \text{ for all } g \in G, x \in X.$$

For such a structure the set $X^\mu$ is stable under $G^\sigma$. We are interested in equivariant real structures on homogeneous manifolds and on their equivariant embeddings.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let $G$ be a complex Lie group, let $\sigma : G \to G$ be an antiholomorphic involution, and let $H \subset G$ be a closed complex Lie subgroup. If there exists a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure on $X = G/H$ then $\sigma(H)$ and $H$ are conjugate by an inner automorphism of $G$. Conversely, if $\sigma(H)$ and $H$ are conjugate and $H$ is self-normalizing then a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure on $X$ exists and is unique.*

**Proof.** Suppose first that $\mu : X \to X$ is a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure. Let $x_0 = e \cdot H$ be the base point and let $\mu(x_0) = g_0 \cdot H$. For $h \in H$ one has

$$\mu(x_0) = \mu(hx_0) = \sigma(h) \cdot \mu(x_0),$$

showing that $\sigma(H) \subset g_0 H g_0^{-1}$. To prove the opposite inclusion, observe that $g \cdot \mu(x_0) = \mu(x_0)$ is equivalent to $\mu(\sigma(g) \cdot x_0) = \mu(x_0)$. This implies $\sigma(g) \cdot x_0 = x_0$, so that $\sigma(g) \in H$ and $g \in \sigma(H)$, hence $g_0 H g_0^{-1} \subset \sigma(H)$.

To prove the converse, assume that $H$ is self-normalizing and

$$g_0 H g_0^{-1} = \sigma(H)$$

for some $g_0 \in G$. Let $r_{g_0}$ be the right shift $g \mapsto gg_0$. We have a map $\mu : X \to X$, correctly defined by $\mu(g \cdot H) = \sigma(g)g_0 \cdot H$. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} G & \stackrel{\sigma}{\longrightarrow} & G & \stackrel{r_{g_0}}{\longrightarrow} & G \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ X = G/H & & \stackrel{\mu}{\longrightarrow} & & X = G/H \ , \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows denote the canonical projection $g \mapsto g \cdot H$, shows that the map $\mu$ is antiholomorphic. It is also clear that $\mu$ is a $\sigma$-equivariant map, i.e., $\mu(gx) = \sigma(g) \cdot \mu(x)$ for all $g \in G$. Therefore $\mu^2$ is an automorphism of the homogeneous space $X$, i.e., $\mu^2$ is a biholomorpic self-map of $X$ commuting with the $G$-action. Since $H$ is self-normalizing, we see that $\mu^2 = \mathrm{id}$. Thus $\mu$ is a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure on $X$. If $\mu'$ is another such structure then $\mu \cdot \mu'$ is again an automorphism of $X = G/H$, so $\mu \cdot \mu' = \mathrm{id}$ and $\mu' = \mu$. □

**Example.** Let $B$ be a Borel subgroup of a semisimple complex Lie group $G$ and let $X = G/B$ be the flag manifold of $G$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure $\mu : X \to X$ exists for any $\sigma : G \to G$. One has $X^\mu \neq \emptyset$ if and only if the minimal parabolic subgroup of $G^\sigma$ is solvable or, equivalently, if the real form has no compact roots.

## 3. Automorphism $\epsilon_\sigma$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex semisimple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ a real form of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ the corresponding antilinear involution. In this section we define the automorphism $\epsilon_\sigma$ of the Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$, cf. [1, 2] and [12], §9. We start by recalling the notions of compact and noncompact roots, see e.g. [13], Ch. 5.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ be a Cartan decomposition. The corresponding Cartan involution extends to $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 + i \cdot \mathfrak{g}_0$ as an automorphism $\theta$ of the complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Clearly, $\theta^2 = \mathrm{id}$ and $\sigma \cdot \theta = \theta \cdot \sigma$. Pick a maximal abelian subspace $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and denote by $\mathfrak{m}$ its centralizer in $\mathfrak{k}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}^+$ be a maximal abelian subalgebra in $\mathfrak{m}$. Then $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}^+ + \mathfrak{a}$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and any such subalgebra containing $\mathfrak{a}$ is of that form. The Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{h} + i \cdot \mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is stable under $\theta$ and $\sigma$. On the dual space $\mathfrak{t}^*$, we have the dual linear transformation $\theta^{\mathrm{T}}$ and the dual antilinear transformation $\sigma^{\mathrm{T}}$:

$$\theta^{\mathrm{T}}(\gamma)(A) = \gamma(\theta A), \ \sigma^{\mathrm{T}}(\gamma)(A) = \overline{\gamma(\sigma A)} \quad (\ \gamma \in \mathfrak{t}^*, \ A \in \mathfrak{t}\ ).$$

Let $\Delta$ be the set of roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$ and let $\Sigma$ be the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{a} + i \cdot \mathfrak{a}$. Put $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}} = i \cdot \mathfrak{h}^+ + \mathfrak{a}$. This is a maximal real subspace of $\mathfrak{t}$ on which all roots take real values. Choose

a basis $v_1, \ldots, v_r, v_{r+1}, \ldots, v_l$ in $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $v_1, \ldots, v_r$ form a basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ and introduce the lexicographic ordering in the dual real vector spaces $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}{}^*$ and $\mathfrak{a}^*$. Then $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}{}^*$, $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$, and $\varrho(\Delta \cup \{0\}) = \Sigma \cup \{0\}$ under the restriction map $\varrho : \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}{}^* \to \mathfrak{a}^*$. Let $\Delta^{\pm}, \Sigma^{\pm}$ be the sets of positive and negative roots with respect to the chosen orderings, $\Pi \subset \Delta^+, \Theta \subset \Sigma^+$ the bases, $\Delta_\bullet = \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \varrho(\alpha) = 0\}$, $\Delta_\circ = \Delta \setminus \Delta_\bullet$. The roots from $\Delta_\bullet$ and $\Delta_\circ$ are called compact and non-compact roots, respectively. Let $\Delta_\bullet^{\pm} = \Delta^{\pm} \cap \Delta_\bullet, \Delta_\circ^{\pm} = \Delta^{\pm} \cap \Delta_\circ, \Pi_\bullet = \Pi \cap \Delta_\bullet$ and $\Pi_\circ = \Pi \cap \Delta_\circ$. One shows that $\Delta_\bullet$ is a root system with basis $\Pi_\bullet$. Also, $\varrho(\Delta_\circ^{\pm}) = \Sigma^{\pm}$, $\theta^{\mathrm{T}}(\Delta_\circ^{\pm}) = \Delta_\circ^{\mp}$ and $\varrho(\Pi_\circ) = \Theta$. Furthermore, one has an involutory self-map $\omega : \Pi_\circ \to \Pi_\circ$, defined by

$$\theta^{\mathrm{T}}(\alpha) = -\omega(\alpha) - \sum_{\gamma \in \Pi_\bullet} c_{\alpha\gamma}\, \gamma,$$

where $c_{\alpha\gamma}$ are non-negative integers. The Satake diagram is the Dynkin diagram on which the simple roots from $\Pi_\bullet$ are denoted by black circles, the simple roots from $\Pi_\circ$ by white circles, and two white circles are connected by a two-pointed arrow if and only if they correspond to the roots $\alpha$ and $\omega(\alpha) \neq \alpha$.

Let $W$ be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{t}$ considered as a linear group on $\mathfrak{t}^*$. The subgroup of $W$ generated by the reflections $s_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in \Pi_\bullet$ is denoted by $W_\bullet$. The element of maximal length in $W_\bullet$ with respect to these generators is denoted by $w_\bullet$. Note that $-w_\bullet(\alpha) \in \Pi_\bullet$ if $\alpha \in \Pi_\bullet$. Let $\iota : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be an inner automorphism such that $\iota(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{t}$, acting as $w_\bullet$ on $\mathfrak{t}^*$. Since $w_\bullet^2 = \mathrm{id}$, we have

$$(\iota^{\pm 1}|\mathfrak{t})^{\mathrm{T}} = w_\bullet.$$

Let $\eta$ be the Weyl involution of $\mathfrak{g}$ acting as $-\mathrm{id}$ on $\mathfrak{t}$.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The self-map of* $\Pi$*, defined by*

$$\epsilon_\sigma(\alpha) = \begin{cases} -w_\bullet(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Pi_\bullet, \\ \omega(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in \Pi_\circ, \end{cases}$$

*is an automorphism of the Dynkin diagram.*

**Proof.** We must find an automorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ preserving $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\Pi$, which acts on $\Pi$ as $\epsilon_\sigma$. Let $\phi = \eta \cdot \theta \cdot \iota$. Then $\phi$ acts on $\Delta$ by

$$\alpha \mapsto -w_\bullet(\theta^{\mathrm{T}}(\alpha)).$$

If $\alpha \in \Pi_\bullet$ then $\theta^{\mathrm{T}}(\alpha) = \alpha$, and so $\phi$ sends $\alpha$ to $-w_\bullet(\alpha) = \epsilon_\sigma(\alpha)$. Now, if $\alpha \in \Pi_\circ$ then

$$-w_\bullet(\theta^{\mathrm{T}}(\alpha)) = w_\bullet(\omega(\alpha)) + \sum_{\gamma \in \Pi_\bullet} c_{\alpha\gamma}\, w_\bullet(\gamma)$$

by the definition of $\omega$. The simple reflections in the decomposition of $w_\bullet$ correspond to the elements of $\Pi_\bullet$. Applying these reflections to $\omega(\alpha) \in \Pi_\circ$ one by one, we see that the right hand side is the sum of $\omega(\alpha)$ and a linear combination of elements of $\Pi_\bullet$, whose coefficients must be nonnegative. Therefore $-w_\bullet(\theta^{\mathrm{T}}(\Pi)) \subset \Delta^+$. Since $-w_\bullet \cdot \theta^{\mathrm{T}}$ arises from $\phi$, this is an automorphism of $\Delta$. Thus $-w_\bullet(\theta^{\mathrm{T}}(\Pi))$ is a base of $\Delta$, hence $-w_\bullet(\theta^{\mathrm{T}}(\Pi)) = \Pi$. In particular, $-w_\bullet(\theta^{\mathrm{T}}(\alpha)) \in \Pi$, and so we obtain $-w_\bullet(\theta^{\mathrm{T}}(\alpha)) = \omega(\alpha) = \epsilon_\sigma(\alpha)$. □

**Proposition 3.2.** *Extend $\epsilon_\sigma$ to a linear map of $\mathfrak{t}^*$ and denote the extension again by $\epsilon_\sigma$. Then $w_\bullet$ and $\theta^{\mathrm{T}}$ commute and*

$$\epsilon_\sigma = -w_\bullet\theta^{\mathrm{T}} = -\theta^{\mathrm{T}}w_\bullet.$$

**Proof.** We already proved that $\epsilon_\sigma$ equals $-w_\bullet\theta^{\mathrm{T}}$ on $\Pi$, so it suffices to show that $\epsilon_\sigma$ also equals $-\theta^{\mathrm{T}}w_\bullet$ on $\Pi$. For $\alpha \in \Pi_\bullet$ we have $-w_\bullet(\alpha) \in \Pi_\bullet$ and $\theta^{\mathrm{T}}\alpha = \alpha$. Thus $-w_\bullet\theta^{\mathrm{T}}\alpha = -w_\bullet\alpha = -\theta^{\mathrm{T}}w_\bullet\alpha$. For $\alpha \in \Pi_\circ$ we have

$$w_\bullet(\alpha) = \alpha + \sum_{\gamma\in\Pi_\bullet} d_{\alpha\gamma}\ \gamma, \quad d_{\alpha\gamma} \geq 0,$$

by the definition of $w_\bullet$. Applying $\theta^{\mathrm{T}}$ we get

$$\theta^{\mathrm{T}}w_\bullet(\alpha) = \theta^{\mathrm{T}}(\alpha) + \sum_{\gamma\in\Pi_\bullet} d_{\alpha\gamma}\ \gamma = -\omega(\alpha) - \sum_{\gamma\in\Pi_\bullet} (c_{\alpha\gamma} - d_{\alpha\gamma})\ \gamma,$$

hence $-\theta^{\mathrm{T}}w_\bullet(\alpha) \in \Delta^+$. But $-\theta^{\mathrm{T}}w_\bullet$ is an automorphism of $\Delta$. Namely, define an automorphism $\phi' : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ by $\phi' = \eta \cdot \iota \cdot \theta$. Then $\phi'(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{t}$ and the dual to $\phi'|_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is $-\theta^{\mathrm{T}}w_\bullet$. Therefore $-\theta^{\mathrm{T}}w_\bullet(\Pi) = \Pi$, so that $c_{\alpha\gamma} = d_{\alpha\gamma}$ and $-\theta^{\mathrm{T}}w_\bullet(\alpha) = \omega(\alpha) = \epsilon_\sigma(\alpha)$. □

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let $\mathfrak{b}^+$ be the positive Borel subalgebra defined by the chosen ordering of roots, i.e., $\mathfrak{b}^+ = \mathfrak{t} + \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Then*

$$\sigma(\mathfrak{b}^+) = \iota(\mathfrak{b}^+) = \iota^{-1}(\mathfrak{b}^+).$$

**Proof.** Observe that $\sigma\cdot\theta$ equals $-\mathrm{id}$ on $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore $\sigma^{\mathrm{T}}(\gamma) = -\theta^{\mathrm{T}}(\gamma)$ and $\sigma^{\mathrm{T}}w_\bullet(\gamma) = -\theta^{\mathrm{T}}w_\bullet(\gamma) = \epsilon_\sigma(\gamma)$ for $\gamma \in \mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathbb{R}}$. In particular, for $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ we have $\alpha' = \sigma^{\mathrm{T}}w_\bullet(\alpha) \in \Delta^+$, hence $\sigma \cdot \iota^{\pm 1}(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) = \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha'} \subset \mathfrak{b}^+$, and our assertion follows. □

**Remark.** If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex simple Lie algebra considered as a real one, then the Dynkin diagram of its complexification is disconnected and has two isomorphic connected components. Furthermore, $\Pi_\bullet = \emptyset$ and $\omega : \Pi_\circ \to \Pi_\circ$ maps each component of the Satake diagram onto the other one. In particular, $\epsilon_\sigma \neq \mathrm{id}$. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple and has no complex structure, then it is easy to find the maps $\epsilon_\sigma$ for all Satake diagrams, see [12], Table 5. Let $l$ be the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$. It turns out that $\epsilon_\sigma = \mathrm{id}$ for $\sigma$

defining $\mathfrak{s}l_{l+1}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{s}l_m(\mathbb{H})$, $l=2m-1$, $\mathfrak{s}o_{p,q}$, $p+q=2l, l\equiv p(\mathrm{mod}\,2)$, $\mathfrak{u}_l^*(\mathbb{H})$, $l=2m$, EI, EIV or any real form of $\mathrm{B}_l, \mathrm{C}_l$, $\mathrm{E}_7, \mathrm{E}_8$, $\mathrm{F}_4$ and $\mathrm{G}_2$. For the remaining real forms $\epsilon_\sigma \neq \mathrm{id}$.

## 4. Action of $\sigma$ on $\mathcal{X}(B)$

In the rest of this paper $G$ is a connected complex semisimple Lie group. Let $B\subset G$ be a Borel subgroup and $T\subset B$ a maximal torus. The Lie algebras are denoted by the corresponding German letters. We want to apply the results of the previous section to the automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$ which lift to $G$. Suppose $\sigma$ is an antiholomorphic involutive automorphism of $G$ and denote again by $\sigma$ the corresponding antilinear involution of $\mathfrak{g}$. The automorphisms $\eta, \theta$ and $\iota$ lift to $G$ and the liftings are denoted by the same letters. Recall that $\epsilon_\sigma$ is originally defined by its action on $\Pi$ as an automorphism class in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})/\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$. The linear map induced by $\epsilon_\sigma$ on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ is denoted again by $\epsilon_\sigma$. The automorphism

$$\phi : \mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g},\quad \phi=\eta\cdot\theta\cdot\iota,$$

leaves $\mathfrak{t}$ stable and acts on $\mathfrak{t}^*$ as $\epsilon_\sigma$, see Propositions 3.1 and 3.2. Since $\sigma$ and $\phi$ are globally defined, we may consider their actions on the character groups of $T$ or $B$.

Namely, since $\sigma(B)$ is also a Borel subgroup, we have $\sigma(B)=cBc^{-1}$ for some $c\in G$. The action of $\sigma$ on the character group $\mathcal{X}(B)$, given by

$$\lambda\mapsto\lambda^\sigma,\quad \lambda^\sigma(b)=\overline{\lambda(c^{-1}\sigma(b)c)}\qquad (b\in B),$$

is correctly defined. For, if $d\in G$ is another element such that $\sigma(B)=dBd^{-1}$ then $d^{-1}c\in B$, hence $\lambda(d^{-1}\sigma(b)d)=\lambda(d^{-1}c)\lambda(c^{-1}\sigma(b)c)\lambda(c^{-1}d)=\lambda(c^{-1}\sigma(b)c)$.

Also, we have the right action of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ on $\mathcal{X}(B)$, defined in the same way. Namely, for an automorphism $\varphi: G\to G$ we put

$$\lambda^\varphi(b)=\lambda(c^{-1}\varphi(b)c)\qquad (b\in B),$$

where $c$ is chosen so that $\varphi(B)=cBc^{-1}$.

For two Borel subgroups $B_1, B_2$ the character groups are canonically isomorphic. Moreover, if $\lambda_1\in\mathcal{X}(B_1)$ corresponds to $\lambda_2\in\mathcal{X}(B_2)$ under the canonical isomorphism then $\lambda_1^\sigma$ corresponds to $\lambda_2^\sigma$ and $\lambda_1^\varphi$ corresponds to $\lambda_2^\varphi$.

Clearly, $\lambda^\varphi=\lambda$ for $\varphi\in\mathrm{Int}(G)$, so we obtain the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(G)/\mathrm{Int}(G)$ on $\mathcal{X}(B)$. In particular, we write $\epsilon_\sigma(\lambda)$ instead of $\lambda^\phi$.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any $\lambda\in\mathcal{X}(B)$ one has*

$$\lambda^\sigma=\epsilon_\sigma(\lambda).$$

**Proof.** Choose $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}^+$ as in Section 3. Then $\sigma(B) = \iota(B)$ by Proposition 3.3. Let $d\lambda$ be the differential of a character $\lambda$ at the neutral point of $T$. Since $\lambda^\sigma(t) = \overline{\lambda(\iota^{-1}\sigma(t))}$ for $t \in T$, we have $d\lambda^\sigma = \sigma^{\mathrm{T}} w_\bullet d\lambda$. On the other hand, $\epsilon_\sigma(\lambda) = \lambda^\phi$, where $\phi = \eta \cdot \theta \cdot \iota$. In the course of the proof of Proposition 3.1 we have shown that $\phi$ preserves $\mathfrak{b}^+$. Thus

$$\epsilon_\sigma(\lambda)(t) = \lambda(\eta\theta\iota(t)) = \lambda(\theta\iota(t))^{-1} \quad (t \in T),$$

hence $d\epsilon_\sigma(\lambda) = -w_\bullet \theta^{\mathrm{T}} d\lambda = -\theta^{\mathrm{T}} w_\bullet d\lambda$ by Proposition 3.2. Since $\theta^{\mathrm{T}} = -\sigma^{\mathrm{T}}$ on $\mathfrak{t}^*_{\mathbb{R}}$, it follows that $d\epsilon_\sigma(\lambda) = d\lambda^\sigma$. □

**Remark.** The automorphism class $\epsilon_\sigma$ has the following meaning for the representation theory, see [2]. Let $V$ be an irreducible $G$-module with highest weight $\lambda$. Denote by $\overline{V}$ the complex dual to the space of antilinear functionals on $V$. Then $G$ acts on $\overline{V}$ in a natural way, the action being antiholomorphic. This action combined with $\sigma$ is then holomorphic, the corresponding $G$-module is irreducible and has highest weight $\epsilon_\sigma(\lambda)$.

## 5. Spherical homogeneous spaces

Let $X = G/H$ be a spherical homogeneous space. We fix a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ and recall the definitions of Luna-Vust invariants of $X$, see [11, 5, 15].

For $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(B)$ let ${}^{(B)}\mathbb{C}(X)_\chi \subset \mathbb{C}(X)$ be the subspace of rational $B$-eigenfunctions of weight $\chi$, i.e.,

$${}^{(B)}\mathbb{C}(X)_\chi = \{f \in \mathbb{C}(X) \mid f(b^{-1}x) = \chi(b)f(x) \quad (b \in B, x \in X)\}.$$

Since $X$ has an open $B$-orbit, this subspace is either trivial or one-dimensional. In the latter case we choose a non-zero function $f_\chi \in {}^{(B)}\mathbb{C}(X)_\chi$. The weight lattice $\Lambda(X)$ is the set of $B$-weights in $\mathbb{C}(X)$, i.e.,

$$\Lambda(X) = \{\chi \in \mathcal{X}(B) \mid {}^{(B)}\mathbb{C}(X)_\chi \neq \{0\}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{V}(X)$ denote the set of $G$-invariant discrete $\mathbb{Q}$-valued valuations of $\mathbb{C}(X)$. The mapping

$$\mathcal{V}(X) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda(X), \mathbb{Q}), \quad v \mapsto \{\chi \to v(f_\chi)\}$$

is injective, see [11, 7], and so we regard $\mathcal{V}(X)$ as a subset of

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda(X), \mathbb{Q}).$$

It is known that $\mathcal{V}(X)$ is a simplicial cone, see [4, 6].

The set of all $B$-stable prime divisors in $X$ is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(X)$. This is a finite set. To any $D \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ we assign $\omega_D \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda(X), \mathbb{Q})$. Namely, $\omega_D(\chi) = \mathrm{ord}_D f_\chi$, the order of $f_\chi$ along $D$. We also write $G_D$ for the stabilizer of $D$. The Luna-Vust invariants of $X$ are given by

the triple $\Lambda(X), \mathcal{V}(X), \mathcal{D}(X)$. The homogeneous space $X$ is completely determined by these combinatorial invariants. More precisely, one has the following theorem of I.Losev [9].

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let $X_1 = G/H_1, X_2 = G/H_2$ be two spherical homogeneous spaces. Assume that $\Lambda(X_1) = \Lambda(X_2)$, $\mathcal{V}(X_1) = \mathcal{V}(X_2)$. Assume further there is a bijection $j : \mathcal{D}(X_1) \to \mathcal{D}(X_2)$, such that $\omega_D = \omega_{j(D)}, G_D = G_{j(D)}$. Then $H_1$ and $H_2$ are conjugate by an inner automorphism of $G$.*

We now return to equivariant real structures. Let $\sigma$ be an antiholomorphic involution of a semisimple complex algebraic group. Given a spherical subgroup $H \subset G$, observe that $\sigma(H)$ is also a spherical subgroup of $G$. Put $X_1 = G/H, X_2 = G/\sigma(H)$, and denote again by $\sigma$ the antiholomorphic map

$$X_1 \to X_2,\ g \cdot H \mapsto \sigma(g) \cdot \sigma(H).$$

Since the conjugate coordinate functions of $\sigma : G \to G$ are regular, we have $\sigma^* \cdot \mathbb{C}(X_2) = \overline{\mathbb{C}(X_1)}$. Choose and fix $c \in G$ in such a way that $\sigma(B) = cBc^{-1}$.

**Proposition 5.2.** $\epsilon_\sigma(\Lambda(X_1)) = \Lambda(X_2)$.

**Proof.** For $f \in \mathbb{C}(X_2)$ define a rational function on $X_1$ by

$$f'(x) = \overline{f(\sigma(cx))}.$$

Note that for $b \in B$ one has $b' := \sigma(cbc^{-1}) \in B$. Furthermore, since $b_0 := \sigma(c)c \in B$, we have

$$\chi^\sigma(b) = \overline{\chi(c^{-1}\sigma(b)c)} = \overline{\chi(b_0^{-1}\sigma(c)\sigma(b)\sigma(c)^{-1}b_0)} = \overline{\chi(b')}.$$

Now take $f = f_\chi$. Then we obtain

$$f'(b^{-1}x) = \overline{f(\sigma(cb^{-1}x))} = \overline{f(\sigma(b'^{-1})\sigma(cx))} = \overline{\chi(b')}f'(x),$$

showing that $f'$ is a $B$-eigenfunction of weight $\chi^\sigma$ on $X_1$. Since the transform $f \mapsto f'$ is invertible and $\chi^\sigma = \epsilon_\sigma(\chi)$ by Lemma 4.1, it follows that $\Lambda(X_2) = \epsilon_\sigma(\Lambda(X_1))$. □

**Proposition 5.3.** *Extend $\epsilon_\sigma$ by duality to* $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{X}(B), \mathbb{Q})$. *Then $\epsilon_\sigma(\mathcal{V}(X_1)) = \mathcal{V}(X_2)$.*

**Proof.** The map

$$\mathbb{C}(X_2) \ni f \mapsto \overline{f \circ \sigma} \in \mathbb{C}(X_1)$$

is a field isomorphism which is $\sigma$-equivariant in the obvious sense, namely,

$$\overline{(g \cdot f) \circ \sigma} = \sigma(g) \cdot (\overline{f \circ \sigma}) \quad (g \in G).$$

Therefore, for $v \in \mathcal{V}(X_1)$ the valuation of $\mathbb{C}(X_2)$ defined by $v'(f) = v(\overline{f \circ \sigma})$ is also $G$-invariant, i.e., $v' \in \mathcal{V}(X_2)$. Furthermore, since the function $f'$, defined in Proposition 5.2, is in the $G$-orbit of $\overline{f \circ \sigma}$, we have $v'(f) = v(f')$. Now take $f = f_\chi$. Then $f'$ is a $B$-eigenfunction with weight $\epsilon_\sigma(\chi)$. Therefore $\epsilon_\sigma(v) = v'$. □

For a $B$-invariant divisor $D$ on $X_1$ its image $\sigma(D)$ is a $\sigma(B)$-invariant divisor on $X_2$. Obviously, the map

$$j : \mathcal{D}(X_1) \to \mathcal{D}(X_2),\ j(D) := \sigma(c \cdot D),$$

is a bijection.

**Proposition 5.4.** *For any $D \in \mathcal{D}(X_1)$ one has $\omega_{j(D)} = \epsilon_\sigma(\omega_D)$. The stabilizers of $D$ and $j(D)$ are parabolic subgroups containing $B$ and satisfying*

$$\sigma(G_{j(D)}) = cG_Dc^{-1}.$$

*Their root systems are obtained from each other by $\epsilon_\sigma$.*

**Proof.** Let $f \in \mathbb{C}(X_2)$ and let $f' \in \mathbb{C}(X_1)$ be the function defined in Proposition 5.2. Then

$$\operatorname{ord}_{j(D)} f = \operatorname{ord}_D f'.$$

Applying this to $f = f_\chi$ we obtain $\omega_{j(D)} = \epsilon_\sigma(\omega_D)$. The definition of $j$ implies readily that $\sigma(G_{j(D)}) = cG_Dc^{-1}$, and the last assertion follows from Lemma 4.1 □

Combining Propositions 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.5.** *If $\epsilon_\sigma$ leaves stable $\Lambda(X_1), \mathcal{V}(X_1)$ and, for any $D \in \mathcal{D}(X_1)$, one has $\epsilon_\sigma(\omega_D) = \omega_D$ and $\sigma(G_D) = cG_Dc^{-1}$ then $H$ and $\sigma(H)$ are conjugate by an inner automorphism, i.e., $\sigma(H) = aHa^{-1}$, where $a \in G$. The map $g \cdot H \mapsto \sigma(g)a \cdot H$ is correctly defined, antiholomorphic and $\sigma$-equivariant. Moreover, if the subgroup $H$ is self-normalizing then this map is a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure on $X_1$ and such a structure is unique.*

**Proof.** The conjugacy of $H$ and $\sigma(H)$ results from Theorem 5.1. The remaining assertions follow from Theorem 2.1. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.1**. It suffices to apply the above corollary in the case $\epsilon_\sigma = \mathrm{id}$. □

**Proposition 5.6.** *If $\epsilon_\sigma = \mathrm{id}$ and $\mu_0$ is defined as in Theorem 1.1, then any $v \in \mathcal{V}(G/H)$ is $\mu_0$-invariant, i.e., for a non-zero rational function $f \in \mathbb{C}(G/H)$ one has $v(\overline{f \circ \mu_0}) = v(f)$.*

**Proof.** Consider $f$ as a right $H$-invariant function on $G$ and put $f^a(g) = f(ga)$ $(g \in G)$. Then $f^a$ is right $aHa^{-1}$-invariant. Since $\sigma(H) = aHa^{-1}$, we can view $f^a$ as a rational function on $X_2 = G/\sigma(H)$. Recall that we have the map $\sigma : X_1 \to X_2$. The definition of $\mu_0 : X_1 \to X_1$ implies $f \circ \mu_0 = f^a \circ \sigma$. It suffices to prove the equality $v(\overline{f \circ \mu_0}) = v(f)$ on $B$-eigenfunctions. Now, if $f$ is such a function then $f^a$ is also a $B$-eigenfunction with the same weight. In the proof of Proposition 5.3, for a given $v \in \mathcal{V}(X_1)$ we defined $v' \in \mathcal{V}(X_2)$ and proved that $\epsilon_\sigma(v) = v'$. In our setting $v = v'$, and so we obtain $v(f) = v'(f^a) = v(\overline{f^a \circ \sigma}) = v(\overline{f \circ \mu_0})$. □

**Example.** Up to an automorphism of $X = \mathbb{CP}^d$, there are two real structures $\mu_1, \mu_2 : X \to X$ for $d$ odd and one real structure $\mu_1 : X \to X$ for $d$ even. In homogeneous coordinates

$$\mu_1(z_0 : z_1 : \ldots : z_d) = (\overline{z_0} : \overline{z_1} : \ldots : \overline{z_d})$$

and

$$\mu_2(z_0 : z_1 : \ldots : z_d) = (-\overline{z_1} : \overline{z_0} : \ldots : -\overline{z_d} : \overline{z_{d-1}}),\ d = 2l - 1.$$

One has $X^{\mu_1} = \mathbb{RP}^d$ and $X^{\mu_2} = \emptyset$. Let $s_l$ be the block $(2l \times 2l)$-matrix with $l$ diagonal blocks

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $g \in G = \mathrm{SL}(d+1, \mathbb{C})$ put

$$\sigma_1(g) = \overline{g} \ \text{ and } \ \sigma_2(g) = -s_l \overline{g} s_l \ \text{ if } \ d + 1 = 2l.$$

Then $G^{\sigma_1} = \mathrm{SL}(d+1, \mathbb{R})$ (the split real form) and $G^{\sigma_2} = \mathrm{SL}(l, \mathbb{H})$, where $d + 1 = 2l$. One checks easily that $\mu_1$ is $\sigma_1$-equivariant and $\mu_2$ is $\sigma_2$-equivariant. Note that a real structure can be $\sigma$-equivariant only for one involution $\sigma$. Therefore $X$ has no $\sigma$-equivariant real structure if $\sigma$ defines a pseudo-unitary group $\mathrm{SU}(p, q),\ p + q = d + 1$.

## 6. Wonderful embeddings

A complete non-singular algebraic $G$-variety $X$ of a semisimple group $G$ is called *wonderful* if $X$ admits an open $G$-orbit whose complement is a finite union of smooth prime divisors $X_1, \ldots, X_r$ with normal crossings and the closures of $G$-orbits on $X$ are precisely the partial intersections of these divisors. The notion of a wonderful variety was introduced by D.Luna [10], who also proved that wonderful varieties are spherical. The total number of $G$-orbits on $X$ is $2^r$. The number $r$ coincides with the rank of $X$ as a spherical variety. Moreover, if a spherical homogeneous space $G/H$ has a wonderful embedding then such an embedding is unique up to a $G$-isomorphism.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let $G$ be a complex semisimple algebraic group, $H \subset G$ a spherical subgroup, and $\sigma : G \to G$ an antiholomorphic involution. Assume that $G/H$ admits a wonderful embedding $G/H \hookrightarrow X$. If there exists a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure on $G/H$ then it extends to a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure on $X$.*

**Proof.** This follows from the uniqueness of wonderful embedding. Namely, let $\varepsilon : G/H \to X$ be the given wonderful embedding. Take the complex conjugate $\overline{X}$ of $X$ and let $\overline{\varepsilon} : G/H \to \overline{X}$ be the corresponding antiholomorphic map. We identify $\overline{X}$ with $X$ as topological spaces and endow $\overline{X}$ with the action $(g, x) \mapsto \sigma(g) \cdot x$, which is regular. Now, take a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure $\mu_0$ on $G/H$ and consider the map $\overline{\varepsilon} \circ \mu_0 : G/H \to \overline{X}$. This is again a wonderful embedding of $G/H$. Since two wonderful embeddings are $G$-isomorphic, there is a $G$-isomorphism $\nu : X \to \overline{X}$ such that $\nu \circ \varepsilon = \overline{\varepsilon} \circ \mu_0$. The map $\nu$ defines a required $\sigma$-equivariant real structure on $X$. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let $G/H \hookrightarrow X$ be the wonderful completion. The existence and uniqueness of a $\sigma$-equivariant real structure $\mu_0$ on $G/H$ follows from Theorem 1.1. By Theorem 6.1 this real structure extends to $X$, the extension being obviously unique. □

As an application of our previous results we have the following property of the $\sigma$-equivariant real structure $\mu$.

**Theorem 6.2.** *We keep the notations and assumptions of Theorem 1.2. Then all $G$-orbits on $X$ are $\mu$-stable.*

**Proof.** It suffices to show that all divisors $X_i$ are $\mu$-stable. Each $X_i$ defines a $G$-invariant valuation of the field $\mathbb{C}(X) = \mathbb{C}(G/H)$. By Proposition 5.6 such a valuation is $\mu$-invariant. Since the divisor is uniquely determined by its valuation, it follows that $X_i$ are $\mu$-stable. □

**Corollary 6.3.** *Keeping the above notations and assumptions, suppose that $\mu$ has a fixed point in the closed $G$-orbit $X_1 \cap \ldots \cap X_r \subset X$. Then $\mu$ has a fixed point in any $G$-orbit. In particular, the number of $G^\sigma$-orbits in $X^\mu$ is greater than or equal to $2^r$.*

**Proof.** The closure of any $G$-orbit in $X$ is of the form $Y = X_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap X_{i_k}$. We know that $Y$ is $\mu$-stable and has a non-trivial intersection with $X^\mu$. Since the real dimension of $X^\mu \cap Y$ equals the complex dimension of $Y$, the set $X^\mu$ must intersect the open $G$-orbit in $Y$. □

The condition $\epsilon_\sigma = \mathrm{id}$ is essential.

**Example.** The adjoint representation of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ gives rise to a two-orbit action on the projective plane. The closed orbit is the quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ arising from the nilpotent cone in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$. Let $G = \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ and $\sigma(g_1, g_2) = (\bar{g}_2, \bar{g}_1)$, where $g_1, g_2 \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$. Note that $G^\sigma = \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ considered as a real group and $\epsilon_\sigma \neq \mathrm{id}$. Let $X = \mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^2$ with each simple factor of $G$ acting on the corresponding factor of $X$ in the way described above. Then $X$ is a wonderful variety of rank 2. The divisors $X_1, X_2$ from the definition of a wonderful variety are $\mathbb{CP}^2 \times Q$ and $Q \times \mathbb{CP}^2$. The $\sigma$-equivariant real structure $\mu$ on $X$ is given by $\mu(z_1, z_2) = (\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1), \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{CP}^2$. The $G$-stable hypersurfaces $X_1, X_2$ are interchanged by $\mu$ and not $\mu$-stable.

## References


[1] D.N.Akhiezer, On the orbits of real forms of complex reductive groups on spherical homogeneous spaces, in *Voprosy Teorii Grupp i Gomologicheskoi Algebry*, Yaroslavl State Univ., Yaroslavl, 2003, 4–18 (Russian).

[2] D.N.Akhiezer, Real forms of complex reductive groups acting on quasiaffine varieties, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.(2)*, **213** (2005), 1–13.

[3] D.Akhiezer, S.Cupit-Foutou, On the canonical real structure on wonderful varieties, *J. reine angew. Math.*, **693** (2014), 231–244.

[4] M.Brion, Vers une généralisation des espaces symétriques, *J. Algebra*, **134** (1990), 115–143.

[5] F.Knop, The Luna-Vust theory of spherical embeddings, in *Proceedings of the Hyderabad conference on algebraic groups*, Hyderabad, India Dec., 1991, 225–249.

[6] F.Knop, Über Bewertungen, welche unter einer reduktiven Gruppe invariant sind, *Math. Ann.*, **295** (1993), 333–363.

[7] F.Knop, Automorphisms, root systems, and compactifications of homogeneous varieties, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **9** (1996), 153–174.

[8] T.Kobayashi, Multiplicity-free representations and visible actions on complex manifolds, *Publ. RIMS*, **41** (2005), 497–549.

[9] I.Losev, Uniqueness property for spherical homogeneous spaces, *Duke Math.J.*, **147** (2009), 315–343.

[10] D.Luna, Toute variété magnifique est sphérique, *Transform. Groups*, **1** (1996), 249–258.

[11] D.Luna, T.Vust, Plongements d'espaces homogènes, *Comment. Math. Helvetici*, **58** (1983), 186–245.

[12] A.L.Onishchik, *Lectures on real semisimple Lie algebras and their representations*, ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, EMS 2004.

[13] A.L.Onishchik, E.B.Vinberg, *Lie groups and algebraic groups*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1990.

[14] I.Satake, On representations and compactifications of symmetric Riemannian spaces, *Ann. of Math.*, **71** (1960), 77–110

[15] D.Timashev, *Homogeneous spaces and equivariant embeddings*, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, **138**, Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, **8**, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2011.

Dmitri Akhiezer
Institute for Information Transmission Problems
19 B.Karetny per.,127994 Moscow, Russia
*E-mail address*: akhiezer@iitp.ru